COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29202

(803) 779-8900 J (803) 227-1112 direct FAX (803) 252-0724 J (803) 744-1556 direct

fellerbe@robinsonlaw.com



ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

COLUMBIA | GREENVILLE

Frank R. Ellerbe, III

1901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200

POST OFFICE BOX 944

June 2, 2006

FILED ELECTRONICALLY HAND DELIVERED ORIGINAL

Mr. Charles Terreni
Chief Clerk of the Commission
Public Service Commission of South Carolina
Synergy Business Park, Saluda Building
101 Executive Center Drive
Columbia, SC 29210

Re:

Hargray & Bluffton EAS Docket Docket No. 2005-204-C and 2006-99-C Our File No. 19336-0017

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing please find South Carolina Cable Television Association's Reply to Response and Objection of Bluffton & Hargray and Motion for Oral Arguments in the above-referenced matter. By copy of this letter we are serving the same on all parties of record. Please stamp the extra copy provided as proof of filing and return it with our courier.

Yours truly,

ROBINSON, McFADDEN & MOORE, P.C.

Frank R. Ellerbe, III

FRE/tch Enclosure

CC:

All Parties of Record Ms. Nancy Horne

THIS DOCUMENT IS AN EXACT DUPLCIATE, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE FORM OF THE SIGNATURE, OF THE E-FILED COPY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NOS. 2005-204-C and 2006-99-C

In Re:)
Docket No. 2005-204-C – Request for Extended Calling Area from Bluffton/Sun City Hilton Head Area to Hilton Head Island	SOUTH CAROLINA CABLE TELEVISION ASSOCIATION'S REPLY TO RESPONSE AND OBJECTION OF BLUFFTON &
AND) HARGRAY AND MOTION FOR) ORAL ARGUMENTS
Docket No. 2006-99-C - Petition of)
Bluffton Telephone Company and)
Hargray Telephone Company to)
Implement Extended Area Service)
(EAS))

The South Carolina Cable Television Association ("SCCTA") hereby replies to Bluffton Telephone Co. ("Bluffton") and Hargray Telephone Co.'s ("Hargray") Response and Objection to SCCTA's Petition to Intervene. SCCTA also moves pursuant to 26 S.C. Regs. 103-840 and other applicable rules and regulations of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") that oral arguments be scheduled on Bluffton and Hargray's request that SCCTA's petition to intervene be denied.

1. Bluffton and Hargray contend that SCCTA's position is not an appropriate ground for intervention in these dockets because the issue raised is a generic issue relating to the State Universal Service Fund ("USF"). Bluffton and Hargray are wrong. In this proceeding Hargray and Bluffton propose to bundle a toll service with basic local exchange service and call that new offering basic local service. It is that proposal that SCCTA objects to. The objection is that if Hargray and Bluffton are allowed to

characterize this bundled service offering as "basic local service" they will be able to receive universal service fund support for a service that should not receive such support.

2. Bluffton and Hargray's proposal includes replacing the MEAS calling and Extended Flat Rate Service Plans currently offered by the respective companies. See Petition of Bluffton and Hargray to Implement Extended Area Service p. 3, ¶ 4-5. If their petition is granted Hargray and Bluffton will be allowed to offer as a part of basic local service toll services which have been providing implicit subsidies to support basic local service. In previous USF proceedings the Commission approved reductions in Bluffton and Hargray's MEAS rates and allowed these companies to offset the reduction by withdrawing funds from the State USF. See Commission Order Nos. 2003-215 and 2004-452 in Docket 97-239-C. These Orders allowed the companies to withdraw the following funds to offset reductions in MEAS rates:

	Bluffton	Hargray
Order No. 2003-215	\$395,630	\$602,171
Order No. 2004-452	<u>\$250,544</u>	<u>\$337,889</u>
	\$646,174	\$940,060

Clearly USF support should not be allowed to support services which have been providing implicit subsidies. But that is exactly what Bluffton and Hargray are proposing.

3. Bluffton and Hargray are requesting that the Commission examine their proposal in a vacuum without considering the impact on the State USF. The issue which

SCCTA has raised is directly related to Bluffton and Hargray's proposed combination

local/toll service offering.

4. SCCTA has a direct interest in this proceeding since its members are

providers and potential providers of competitive local exchange services in South

Carolina. S.C. Code Section 58-9-280 provides for support of basic local exchange

service on a per line basis. To allow Bluffton and Hargray to merge toll and local

services into a new expanded offering which the companies can then characterize as

"basic local service" in order to receive the same USF support in the future would be

anti-competitive and not in the public interest.

For these reasons, the SCCTA requests that the Commission deny Bluffton and

Hargray's request that its Petition to Intervene be dismissed. SCCTA requests that the

Commission hold an oral argument on the issue of whether it should be allowed to

intervene as a party of record in this case.

Dated this 2nd day of June, 2006.

ROBINSON, McFadden & Moore, P.C.

Frank R. Ellerbe, III

Bonnie D. Shealy

Post Office Box 944

Columbia, SC 29202

Telephone (803) 779-8900

fellerbe@robinsonlaw.com

bshealy@robinsonlaw.com

Counsel for South Carolina Cable Television

Association

3

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2005-204-C and 2006-99-C

In Re:	
Docket No. 2005-204-C Request for Extended Calling Area from Bluffton/Sun City Hilton Head Area to Hilton Head Island	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
AND	
Docket No. 2006-99-C Petition of BlufftonTelephone Company and Hargray Telephone Company to Implement Extended Area Service (EAS)))))

This is to certify that I, Anna V. McLeod, legal assistant, have this day caused to be served upon the person(s) named below **South Carolina Cable Television Association's Reply to Response and Objection of Bluffton & Hargray and Motion for Oral Arguments** in the foregoing matter by placing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed as follows:

M. John Bowen, Jr., Esquire McNair Law Firm, P.A. P.O. Box 11390 Columbia, SC 29211

Margaret M. Fox, Esquire McNair Law Firm, P.A. P.O. Box 11390 Columbia, SC 29211 Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff Post Office Box 11263 Columbia, SC 29211

Mr. Donald A. Cotnoir 204 Col. Thomas Heyward Road Sun City Hilton Head Bluffton, South Carolina 29909

Dated at Columbia, South Carolina this 2nd day of June, 2006.

ANNA V. MCLEOD

THIS DOCUMENT IS AN EXACT DUPLICATE, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE FORM OF THE SIGNATURE, OF THE E-FILED COPY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.